

14 September 1964

STATINTL

Dear Wil,

STATINTL

STATINTL

In August you picked up a couple of proposals from [redacted] and sent them in for us to review. We have evaluated the proposal on Elastic Surface Transformation by [redacted] and find the concept not acceptable in its present generalized form. However, we would reconsider the idea if the answers to the following questions tend to show the advantages of the system significantly outweigh the disadvantages:

- a. Is the gain that is achieved due to the change in spacial arrangement greater than the loss that will be incurred implementing (optically enlargement) this change?
- b. Does the type of photographic emulsion have the relaxing or shrinking capabilities that are necessary to fulfill the requirements of the proposal?
- c. How will the photo-sensitive material be applied to the pre-stretched material and how will this process effect the usefullness of the materials?
- d. What order of magnitude of funding is required to implement the proposal?

STATINTL

On your next routine visit to this company, would you please discuss the concept further with [redacted] on the assumption that he can provide satisfactory answers to our questions. We are not interested in funding an investigation endeavor offering such nebulous promise of success.

Best regards,

Bill M.

BM:mb